

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,174	02/26/2004	Michel Franz	09997.0087US01	9902
23552 MERCHANT &	7590 05/11/200 & GOULD PC		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903	•		SILVERMAN, ERIC E	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1615	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/789,174	FRANZ, MICHEL				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Eric E. Silverman, PhD	1615				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	oril 2007.					
,		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖾	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16,18-20 and 22-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>16,18-20 and 22-35</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak k$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/11/2007 has been entered.

Claims 16, 18, 19, and 22 – 25 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 16, 18, 19, 22 – 29 **remain** rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woolfe in view of Ouadji for reasons of record and those discussed below. In addition, newly added claims 30 – 35 are now included in this rejection.

Newly added claims 30 – 32 recite a method of treating arthritis by administering the composition of claim 22. Woolfe teaches threatment of arthritis in paragraph [0002]. Claims 33 – 35 require additional materials, such as acrylate polymers and modified celluloses. The use of these materials is taught in Example 1 of Woolfe.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' argue that the declarations are sufficient to overcome the

Art Unit: 1615

rejections by showing unexpected results over the prior art. There are two declarations. one by Michel Franz (the Franz declaration), which purports to show the difference in stability of misoprostol in gelatin capsules versus in HPMC capsules, and the other by Karin Amighi (the Amighi declaration) indicates that the presence of an additional drug or drug bead (with excipients) in the capsule would not effect the stability of misoprostol. The Franz declaration is deficient because the type of gelatin used in the study is not specified. The art specifically refers to "hard gelatin" capsules. Other types of gelatin capsules, for example, soft gelatin, are also available for use in the pharmaceutical arts. For the Franz declaration to be sufficient, it is necessary the evidence provided therein be a true comparison with the hard gelatin of the art. However, it is not clear from the Franz declaration whether hard or soft gelatin was used.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric E. Silverman, PhD whose telephone number is 571 272 5549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached on 571 272 8373. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300:

Application/Control Number: 10/789,174 Page 4

Art Unit: 1615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric E. Silverman, PhD Art Unit 1615

MICHAEL P. WOODWARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600